



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,755	08/20/2003	Timothy R. MacHold	RADME-65147	8052
24201	7590	05/20/2010		
FULWIDER PATTON LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			EXAMINER NASSER, ROBERT L	
			ART UNIT 3735	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/20/2010	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Notice of Non-Compliant  
Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review**

Application No.

10/645755

Applicant(s)

Timothy R. Mac Hold, et al.

Examiner

Robert L. Nasser

Art Unit

3735

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

The Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed on 05/13/10 is non-compliant for the following reason(s). See *Pre-Appeal Brief Conference Pilot Program*, 1296 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 67 (July 12, 2005). A conference will not be held.

The time period for filing an appeal brief CONTINUES TO RUN from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal. 37 CFR 41.37(a). If no Notice of Appeal has been received, the time period for filing a reply continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication.

1. ☐ The Request was not filed concurrently with a proper Notice of Appeal.
2. ☐ The Request does not include accompanying arguments for which the review is being requested.
3. ☐ The arguments accompanying the Request exceed five (5) pages.
4. ☐ The arguments accompanying the Request are directed to petitionable, not appealable, matters.
5. ☐ An after-final or proposed amendment was filed with the Request, or after the filing of the Request but before a panel decision from the pre-appeal brief conference.
6. ☐ The request was filed concurrently with a Request for Continued Examination (RCE).
7. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

The arguments accompanying the Request are not signed.

/Sharmalla Coates/  
Sharmalla Coates, Supervisor  
Patent Appeal Center